

Section 2.7: The Conditional

Tuesday, February 06, 2024 10:45 AM

conditional:

$$p \rightarrow q$$

" p implies q "

"if p , then q "

example: "If it is January, then it is winter."

example: If Barney is a dog, then Barney has four legs. ← true

Answer the following questions with "yes", "no", or "maybe."

- a) Barney is a dog. Does he have four legs? Y
- b) Barney is not a dog. Does he have four legs? M
- c) Barney has four legs. Is he a dog? M
- d) Barney does not have four legs. Is he a dog? N

true: "If **Snarks are Boojms**, then the **Bellman is incorrect**."

- we cannot have p true and q false
Which of the following cannot occur?

- a) **Snarks are Boojms** and the Bellman is incorrect.

- a) Snarks are Boogums and the Bellman is incorrect.
- b) Snarks are not Boogums and the Bellman is incorrect.
- c) Snarks are not Boogums and the Bellman is correct.
- d) Snarks are Boogums and the Bellman is correct.

Section 2.7: 2024/02/07

example: If Pat sleeps in, then she will be late for class.

for this conditional $p \rightarrow q$, write the converse $q \rightarrow p$. Is the converse logically equivalent to the conditional?

converse: $q \rightarrow p$

If Pat is late for class, then she slept in.

logically equivalent? no!

so, how can we prove it? truth table

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$
0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1

not same



not same

$p \rightarrow q$ is not logically equivalent to $q \rightarrow p$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \not\equiv (q \rightarrow p)$$

example: consider the conditional $p \rightarrow q$. Is it logically equivalent to the contrapositive $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$? Justify your answer using a truth table.

p	q	$\sim p$	$\sim q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$
0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	1

Yes

or, if you insist $(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim q \rightarrow \sim p)$

example: write the contrapositive $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$ for the following conditional $p \rightarrow q$.

"If I live in Seaside or Esquimalt, then I live in BC."

answer: If I don't live in BC, then I don't live in Scanich AND I don't live in Esquimalt.

stealth DeMorgan's!

why?

$$\overline{\text{Scanich or Esquimalt}} = \overline{\text{Scanich}} \text{ and } \overline{\text{Esquimalt}}$$

another perfectly acceptable answer would be:

If I don't live in BC, then I live in neither Scanich nor Esquimalt.

why? NOR = "not or"

what about the inverse, $\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$?

	conditional	$p \rightarrow q$	
equivalent	converse	$q \rightarrow p$	equivalent
	contrapositive	$\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$	
	inverse	$\sim p \rightarrow \sim q$	

the "or" form of the conditional:

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\sim p$	$\sim p \vee q$
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	1

$$(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\sim p \vee q)$$

example: for the conditional $p \rightarrow q$, rewrite it in the "or" form, $\sim p \vee q$.

If Pat sleeps in, then she will be late for class.

answer: Pat didn't sleep in or she was late for class.

digression: why do we care?

pseudocode:

```

if  $x > 3$  then  $y = 4$ 
print  $y$ 

```

question: if the output is "4", was $x > 3$?

consider

$x = 5$

$y = 7$

if $x > 3$ then $y = 4$

print y

output 4

$x = 2$

$y = 9$

if $x > 3$ then $y = 4$

print y

output 4

answer to our question
is MAYBE